

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/851,791	05/09/2001	Hiroshi Kutsumi	N	MTS-3257US	3296	
;	7590 06/15/		EXAMINER			
Ratner & Prestia			POND, ROBERT M			
Suite 301 One Westlakes, Berwyn				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 980			3625			
Valley Forge,	PA 19482-0980		DATE N	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			791	KUTSUMI ET AL.					
			er	Art Unit					
			/I. Pond	3625					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of unication. of days, a reply within the structory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron oplication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 10 May 2001							
	) This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 37</u> is/are objecte.  Claim(s) <u>1-19, 23-36, and 38-41</u> are	e withdrawn from c		ement.					
Applicati	on Papers	`							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to				١.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	c(s)								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/851,791

Art Unit: 3625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 20, 21, 22, and 37 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims cannot be treated on the merits.

#### Election/Restrictions

A telephone call was made to Mr. Jack Jankovitz on 03 June 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 24 and 36, drawn to recommending items, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
- Claims 2 and 25, drawn to recommending items, classified in class
   subclass 27.
- III. Claims 3 and 26, drawn to scoring items for recommendation, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
- IV: Claims 4 and 27, drawn to recommending based on thesaurus, classified in class 705, subclass 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,791

Art Unit: 3625

V: Claims 5, 6, and 28, drawn to recommending based on frequency, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

VI: Claims 7-13 and 29, drawn to recommending based on extraction, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

VII: Claims 14 and 30, drawn to recommending based on profile, classified in class 705, subclass 27.

VIII: Claims 15, 31, and 38 drawn to recommending based on extraction, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

IX: Claims 16 and 32, drawn to recommending based on calculating, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

X: Claims 17 and 33, drawn to recommending based on advertisements, classified in class 705, subclass 14.

XI: Claims 18-19 and 34 drawn to recommending based on advertisements, classified in class 705, subclass 14.

XII: Claims 23, 39 drawn to recommending based on calculating, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

XIII: Claims 35, drawn to recommending based on calculating, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

XIV: Claims 40 and 41 drawn to recommending based on assigning weight, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,791

Art Unit: 3625

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because: Inventions I-XIV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombinations as claimed because recommending items has separate utility. The subcombination has separate utility such as recommending based on a thesaurus, scoring, calculating, extracting, or a profile.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group is not required for any other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Robert M. Pond whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

or faxed to:

**703-872-9306** (Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Robert M. Rond

Patent Examiner June 14, 2004